IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 11TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 20TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 27003 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

MULTI AFFAIRS RESOURCES SOUK (MARS)
42/1219 D, 2ND FLOOR, KADEEJA BUILDING, ERG ROAD,
KOCHI 18, REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR M.A.IBRAHIM

BY ADV. SRI.BIJU .C. ABRAHAM

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA REP. BY ITS
SECRETARY TO LOCAL ADMINISTRATION, GOVT.
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE EZHUPUNNA GRAMA PANCHAYATH, REP. BY
ITS SECRETARY, GRAMA PANCHAYAT OFFICE,
EZHUPUNNA.

R1 BY SR.GOVT.PLEADER SRI.K.J.MOHAMMED ANZAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 11/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

.....

W.P.(C)No.27003 OF 2007

.....

DATED THIS THE 11TH SEPTEMBER, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Making submissions for admission, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the appeal and the stay petition preferred by the petitioner before the Council of the Panchayat have been decided against the petitioner. But, as rightly pointed out by the learned Government Pleader, the averment in the Writ Petition is that the appeal Ext.P7 and the stay petition Ext.P8 are pending.

2. I do not propose to go into the merits of the grounds raised. I dispose of the Writ Petition at this stage itself directing the Council of the 2nd respondent-Panchayat to take up Exts.P7 and P8 immediately, hear the petitioner and dispose of them in accordance with law at the earliest and at any rate within three weeks of the petitioner producing copy of this judgment. Implementation of Ext.P6 will be subject to the decision to be taken by the Council as directed above.

(PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE)

tgl